AROME sensitivity to cloud and EDMF schemes

Sébastien Riette Météo-France CNRM/GMME

00

Delft, June 15, 2011



Problematic (9 April 2010 at 12h)



AROME has a too much "all-or-nothing" behaviour



1.5

0.9

0.7

0.5

0.3

0.1

n

Problematic (9 April 2010 at 12h)



3



With the additional variance term suggested by Wim de Rooy (based on humidity) we reduced this problem but we still have a too much "all-or-nothing" behaviour in shallow convection area.



- 1. EDKF corrections
- 2. New cloud scheme
- 3. Cloud schemes comparisons with EDKF
- 4. EDMF schemes comparisons
- 5. Scores over 1 month
- 6. Perspectives



Rt and $\theta_{|}$ are the variables used in EDKF but we need to calculate θ in order to compute the buoyancy:

- It's an iterative process (because it uses Rv_{sat} which depends on θ) that must converge,
- To accelerate computation, we use a guess of Rc/Ri. This guess can be too small or too big,
- Clouds can be warm, cold or mixed



9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with operational version of $\theta_{_{\rm I}}$ to θ conversion







9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with ice correction







9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with ice correction and allowing guess to be over or under estimating







9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with ice correction, allowing guess to be over or under estimating and total convergence







Different cloud schemes are implemented in AROME:

 'DIRE': Cloud fraction and Rc/Ri are diagnosed directly from updraft variables. (Pergaud et al, 2009)



Different cloud schemes

 'STAT': A variance is diagnosed from updraft variables to be used with the same PDF as the one used in the adjustment process. (Chaboureau et al, 2005)





Different cloud schemes

 'STA2': New parametrisation. A variance is diagnosed from updraft variables to be used with an other (new) PDF. This way we use a double-Gaussian PDF with one mode for the environment and one for shallow convection. (Perraud et al, 2011)





Cloud scheme comparisons







STA2 cloud scheme XALPHA_MF sensitivity





Different schemes are or will be implemented in AROME:

- EDKF as used in operations but corrected (Pergaud et al, 2009)
- EDKF with entrainment/detrainment scheme by Rio et al, 2010 (implementation in progress by R. Honnert).
- EDMFm scheme as used at KNMI



Entrainment / detrainment scheme comparisons





Scores over a 40 days-period (April-May)

Same kind of impact is seen on humidity,

but scores show a very weak impact on screen-level parameters.

=> We need other diagnostics to evaluate EDKF and the cloud scheme used.

- Test of Rio et al entrainment/detrainment scheme
- Choice of entrainment/detrainment and cloud schemes to use
- Development or use of existing cloud scores with CALIPSO, CLOUDSAT, SIRTA and/or Cabauw...
- Tuning of the cloud scheme using 3D experiments
- Study of transition with resolved convection
- Homogenisation (computation of ice fraction, temperature to use to compute Rv_{st}, iterations...) during

o adjustment process

 \circ θ to θ conversion in EDKF

o computation of shallow convection clouds which all compute cloud fraction and cloud content from θ_{1} .

Cloud scheme: DIRE (Pergaud et al, 2009)

 $CF = XKCF * CF^{up}$ $Rc = CF * Rc^{up}$ $Ri = CF * Ri^{up}$

XKCF = 2.75

Cloud scheme: STA2 (Perraud et al, 2011)

$$PDF(x,\mu,\sigma) \equiv \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$CF^{up}(r_{sat}(T^{up}), r_{t}^{up}, \sigma) \equiv \int_{x=r_{sat}(T^{up})}^{x=+\infty} PDF(x, r_{t}^{up}, \sigma) dx \qquad CF = CF^{up} \times \alpha^{up}$$
$$\overline{r_{c}}^{up}(r_{sat}(T^{up}), r_{t}^{up}, \sigma) \equiv \int_{x=r_{sat}(T^{up})}^{x=+\infty} (x - r_{sat}(T^{up})) PDF(x, r_{t}^{up}, \sigma) dx \qquad Rc = \overline{r_{c}}^{up} \times \alpha^{up}$$

Lenderink et Siebesma, 2000 $\omega_*^{up} = \left(\int_{cloud} \frac{g M(\theta_v^{up} - \theta_v^{env})}{\theta^{env} \rho} dz\right)^{1/3}$

XALPHA = 2

XSIGMA = 20

 $\alpha^{up} = \frac{M}{XALPHA \rho \,\omega_*^{up}}$ $\sigma^2 = \left| \frac{M(r_t^{up} - r_t^{env})}{XSIGMA \,\rho \,\omega_*^{up}} l_{cloud} \frac{\partial r_t^{env}}{\partial z} \right|$

References

- Pergaud J, Masson V, Malardel S, Couvreux F, 2009: A parameterization of dry thermals and shallow cumuli for mesoscale numerical weather prediction. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 132: 83–106
- Chaboureau JP, Bechtold P, 2005: Statistical representation of clouds in a regional model and the impact on the diurnal cycle of convection during tropical convection, cirrus and nitrogen oxides (troccinox). J Geophys Res 110: D17103
- Perraud, E., F. Couvreux, S. Malardel, C. Lac, V. Masson, and O. Thouron, 2011: Evaluation of statistical distributions for the parametrization of subgrid boundary-layer clouds, Boundary Layer Meteorology
- Rio, C., F. Hourdin, F. Couvreux and A. Jam, 2010: Resolved versus parametrized boundary-layer plumes. Part II : Continuous formulation of mixing rates for mass-flux schemes, Boundary Layer Meteorology
- G. Lenderink et P. Siebesma, 2000: Combining the mass ux approach with a statistical cloud schemes. In Proc. of 14th Symposium on Boundary Layers and Turbulence, p. 6669. American Meteorological Society

