AROME sensitivity to cloud and EDMF schemes

Sébastien Riette Météo-France CNRM/GMME

 \circ

Delft, June 15, 2011

Problematic (9 April 2010 at 12h)

AROME has a too much "all-or-nothing" behaviour

 1.5

0.9

 0.7

 0.5

 0.3

 0.1

n

Problematic (9 April 2010 at 12h)

With the additional variance term suggested by Wim de Rooy (based on humidity) we reduced this problem but we still have a too much "all-or-nothing" behaviour in shallow convection $_{3}$ area. METEO FRANCE Toujours un temps d'avance

- 1. EDKF corrections
- 2. New cloud scheme
- 3. Cloud schemes comparisons with EDKF
- 4. EDMF schemes comparisons
- 5. Scores over 1 month
- 6. Perspectives

Rt and θ are the variables used in EDKF but we need to calculate l θ in order to compute the buoyancy:

- **It's an iterative process (because it uses Rv_{sat} which depends on θ)** that must converge,
- To accelerate computation, we use a guess of Rc/Ri. This guess can be too small or too big,
- Clouds can be warm, cold or mixed

9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with operational version of θ_ι to θ conversion

9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with ice correction

9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with ice correction and allowing guess to be over or under estimating

9 April 2010 at 12h simulated with ice correction, allowing guess to be over or under estimating and total convergence

Different cloud schemes are implemented in AROME:

■ 'DIRE': Cloud fraction and Rc/Ri are diagnosed directly from updraft variables. (Pergaud et al, 2009)

Different cloud schemes

TAT: A variance is diagnosed from updraft variables to be used with the same PDF as the one used in the adjustment process. (Chaboureau et al, 2005)

Different cloud schemes

- 'STA2': New parametrisation. A variance is diagnosed from updraft variables to be used with an other (new) PDF. This way we use a double-Gaussian PDF with one mode for the environment and one for shallow convection. (Perraud et al, 2011)

Cloud scheme comparisons

STA2 cloud scheme XALPHA_MF sensitivity

Different schemes are or will be implemented in AROME:

- EDKF as used in operations but corrected (Pergaud et al, 2009)
- EDKF with entrainment/detrainment scheme by Rio et al, 2010 (implementation in progress by R. Honnert).
- EDMFm scheme as used at KNMI

Entrainment / detrainment scheme comparisons

Scores over a 40 days-period (April-May)

Same kind of impact is seen on humidity,

but scores show a very weak impact on screen-level parameters.

=> We need other diagnostics to evaluate EDKF and the cloud scheme used.

- Test of Rio et al entrainment/detrainment scheme
- Choice of entrainment/detrainment and cloud schemes to use
- Development or use of existing cloud scores with CALIPSO, CLOUDSAT, SIRTA and/or Cabauw...
- Tuning of the cloud scheme using 3D experiments
- **Study of transition with resolved convection**
- Homogenisation (computation of ice fraction, temperature to use to compute Rv_{sat}, iterations...) during
	- o adjustment process
	- ο θ to θ conversion in EDKF l

o computation of shallow convection clouds which all compute cloud fraction and cloud content from $\Theta_{_\|}$.

Cloud scheme: DIRE (Pergaud et al, 2009)

CF=*XKCF*∗*CFup* $Rc = CF * Re^{up}$ $Ri = CF * Ri^{up}$

XKCF=2.75

Cloud scheme: STA2 (Perraud et al, 2011)

$$
PDF(x, \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}
$$

$$
CF^{up}(r_{sat}(T^{up}), r_t^{up}, \sigma) \equiv \int_{x=r_{sat}(T^{up})}^{x=\pm \infty} PDF(x, r_t^{up}, \sigma) dx
$$

\n
$$
CF = CF^{up} \times \alpha^{up}
$$

\n
$$
\overline{r_c}^{up}(r_{sat}(T^{up}), r_t^{up}, \sigma) \equiv \int_{x=r_{sat}(T^{up})}^{x=\pm \infty} (x-r_{sat}(T^{up})) PDF(x, r_t^{up}, \sigma) dx
$$

\n
$$
RC = \overline{r_c}^{up} \times \alpha^{up}
$$

Lenderink et Siebesma, 2000
\n
$$
\omega_*^{up} = \left(\int_{cloud} \frac{g M (\theta_{\nu}^{up} - \theta_{\nu}^{env})}{\theta^{env} \rho} dz\right)^{1/3}
$$
\n
$$
\omega^2 = \left|\frac{M (r_{\nu}^{up} - r_{\nu}^{env})}{XSIGMA \rho \omega_*^{up}} l_{cloud} \frac{\partial r_{\nu}^{env}}{\partial z}\right|
$$

XALPHA=2 *XSIGMA*=20

References

- **Pergaud J, Masson V, Malardel S, Couvreux F, 2009: A** parameterization of dry thermals and shallow cumuli for mesoscale numerical weather prediction. Boundary-Layer Meteorol 132: 83–106
- Chaboureau JP, Bechtold P, 2005: Statistical representation of clouds in a regional model and the impact on the diurnal cycle of convection during tropical convection, cirrus and nitrogen oxides (troccinox). J Geophys Res 110: D17103
- **Perraud, E., F. Couvreux, S. Malardel, C. Lac, V. Masson, and** O. Thouron, 2011: Evaluation of statistical distributions for the parametrization of subgrid boundary-layer clouds, Boundary Layer Meteorology
- **Rio, C., F. Hourdin, F. Couvreux and A. Jam, 2010: Resolved** versus parametrized boundary-layer plumes. Part II : Continuous formulation of mixing rates for mass-flux schemes, Boundary Layer Meteorology
- G. Lenderink et P. Siebesma, 2000: Combining the mass ux approach with a statistical cloud schemes. In Proc. of 14th Symposium on Boundary Layers and Turbulence, p. 6669. American Meteorological Society

